

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/685,767	67 10/14/2003		James R. Mujwid	6683.69USU1	6331	
43541	7590	7590 12/09/2005		EXAMINER		
FAEGR	E & BENSO	ON	REIMERS, ANNETTE R			
ATTN: P	ATENT DO	CKETING			PAPER NUMBER	
2200 WE	LLS FARGO	CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
90 SOUT	H 7TH STR	EET	3733			
MINNEA	POLIS, MN	55402-3901				

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Then

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/685,767	MUJWID ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Annette R. Reimers	3733		

Defens the Fillian of an Annual Duick		MOOTING ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Annette R. Reimers	3733						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in con	ppliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1	• • •		(270) 000					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (P)								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-25.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, to because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ion of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered to	out does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
	EDUARIO O. PRIMARY EX	ROBERT AMINER						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The inclusion of the subject matter of claim 10 into claim 1 would require further search and/or consideration for claims 21-25. It is noted that the subject matter of claim 10 was not presented before combined with the subject matter of claims 21-25. In addition, the inclusion of the subject matter of claims 8 and 10 into claim 2 would require further search and/or consideration for claims 3-7, 9 and 11. It is noted that the subject matter of claims 8 and 10 was not presented before combined with the subject matter of claims 3-7, 9 and 11. Furthermore, the inclusion of the subject matter of claims 10 and 16 into claim 12 would require further search and/or consideration for claims 13-15. It is noted that the subject matter of claims 10 and 16 was not presented before combined with the subject matter of claims 13-15. Moreover, the inclusion of the subject matter of claim 10 into claim 17 would require further search and/or consideration for claims 18-19, It is noted that the subject matter of claim 10 was not presented before combined with the subject matter of claims 18-19.

